

Jesus Christ Confronts Homosexuality

May 18, 2012

Article

Dr. Paula A. Price, Apostle

N.E.A.R.

New Era Apostleship Restitution

Dr. Paula A. Price, Apostle

Jesus Christ Confronts Homosexuality

Greetings, in the Name of our Lord and Savior Jesus Christ,

I pray this letter finds you all rejoicing and prospering in our Savior's love and devotion to us. Like 2 Peter 1:1, I am writing to those of like precious faith, those who share the mind of Christ and not just the modern culture's Christian Way, the enemy of our Founder's faith. To lay a foundation for this article, you should know that there are two types of ministers in the world and in Christendom. There is the strictly Christian minister who serves the institution, and the representative of the Risen Lord Jesus Christ who serves Savior Himself. Believe me when I tell you that the modern world's savage onslaught against traditional Christianity is fueling real contests between the two. Some of the things front runners of Christianity support say that the difference is drastic. Let me explain.

The Christian Minister vs. The Genuine Representative of the Risen Jesus Christ

Those classified as Christian ministers are preoccupied with the church institution itself. They are very consumed with how it fits in the world and its cultures. For the most part, they have heard from the Lord and perhaps encountered Him once or twice but many of them are scarcely acquainted with Him. Not the case with the representative, who is established by the Lord as His veritable proxy. The representative in contrast has more than encountered the Resurrected Jesus Christ, he and she has experienced Him and His world. This minister lives in daily and very intimate communion with not only Jesus the Savior, but Jesus the Sovereign Lord of creation as well. This is the foremost thing that makes the representative different. Such ministers are preoccupied with Christ Jesus' responsibilities to His worlds and creation, and remain attuned to what He feels and thinks. Most importantly, the representative of Jesus is a partner, a stakeholder, in what He has planned and been working in the world since before time began. These ministers know their God and are more than ready to do great exploits for Him. That is the mind that Jesus Himself had toward His Father's charge to Him and it is the very one that He passes on to those who truly want to more than serve Him, those who want to represent Him. To represent is to bring to mind in order to present again, it is to demonstrate in order to manifest, to display by depicting. A lot of the things passing for Christianity today fail this definition miserably. Bluntly, here is how the two types look side by side. One type,

the Christian minister is contemporary minded and the other, the representative is eternal and everlasting minded. That is how to separate the one entrusted to represent Jesus Christ and the one called to serve Him. Perhaps you can say we have taken a great step in detecting the many that are called from the many that are chosen.

When one reads the scripture they learn that faith, religion, and worship in and of themselves are not the actual institutions that facilitate them. God intended for the institutions to be merely vehicles of their expression and practice. In general, worship and faith, ministries and services did not originate as vain acts of vague inner expressions. They emerged rather as outgrowths of an encounter with an actual being that converted people into its way of life. That is how it is with the Lord Jesus and His faith. While He is a personal Savior, relating to Him must be according to His personality and practices, not our own.

Vain Religion vs. Personified Worship

The people in early civilizations did not have faith in faith. They did not simply worship what they felt within and their religions did not spring from institution. The institution followed the religion as it grew over time. Even if ancient cultures worshiped nature and objects, it was because they had a genuine confrontation (at least their founder did) with a divine being that deeply affected them. The experience eventually brought the worshipper into a depth of worship and devotion that was repeatedly celebrated and commemorated from the moment they met their god, and the union between them that grew out of it. For example, Moses was summoned into the Almighty's service by way of a burning bush. Now in the darkened mind that bush would be god and later served as such. Moses on the other hand was enlightened and realized that the Lord (a person) used the bush (a natural object) to get his attention. He celebrated and obeyed the bush's Maker and left the bush where it should be, in the landscape of nature and not God's tabernacle. So, why am I starting this letter with these statements? The answer is because as a Christian, it is time you discerned your minister's faith and religion platform. If your minister is simply a Christian minister, he or she believes in Jesus Christ to the extent that His philosophies and teachings influence (not necessarily govern) them and their ministry. They reveal this by whose words they speak most consistently. If on the other hand, your minister is an actual representative of Jesus Christ, then the messages, teachings, and motivations for their ministry are His and not their own as He stresses in John 7:16-18. The difference is worth understanding in today's culture, where emerging trends bear down heavily on the institution called Christianity and its church.

Modern culture is steadily working to upstage the message, ministry and motivations of the Man Christ Jesus that Paul and the other apostles rigidly held to and relentlessly fought to defend. Remember these distinctions because you will find yourself using them again and again to help you make sound decisions concerning your faith and the true religion of Jesus Christ. During His earthly, ministry, He constantly reminded us that He

was a messenger of God Almighty and not merely a purveyor of a new religion or reformer of Israel's institutional faith. And yet, that is what many of today's Christian ministers pursue, a reformation of the Jesus' faith aimed at dismantling His church's stronghold over world culture to tolerate those who have serious issues with Him and dangerous flaws in their faith.

To defeat, or at least break the strength, of the Christian church, Christ's opposers under the guise of "God's love and not casting judgment" seduce Christian ministers who serve Christianity's ideal rather than its Founder into talking the saints out of "what thus says the Lord." With heretical and sensual teachings they persuade them to diminish their faith to just get along with the world. These two themes have been used to popularize all sorts of heretical and occultic beliefs and push them on God's people to intimidate them into accepting worldliness just to avoid being accused of not walking in love or of judging their neighbor. Cloaking their perversion of what the-; Jesus taught, these distorters have succeeded in entrenching their doctrine in modern culture. Even comedic shows and dramas freely use this fabrication to reinforce the fear planted in sincere believers' hearts of being falsely accused of judging and not loving their neighbor.

Regularly, you hear supporters of the homosexual agenda, especially celebrities and actors, announce their liberation from Christian morality. Presenting their detachment from our Judeo-Christian values as social enlightenment or sophisticated adulthood, they brag "I don't judge" because "God is love." They do so to show that homosexuality's, indeed all sexual perversions' only problem stems from archaic Christians morals from which they freed themselves as adults. Strangely other things are, like for instance, theft, lying, violence, drugs, rape, pedophilia, and other abuses and vices that people indulge in to be themselves or to satisfy their basic nature are condemned. Perhaps it is because it is easy see right away the damage they cause to a society, whereas sexual perversion's destruction is slower and much more subtle. You only see its effects when its effects are in full control. Until then, its approval and practice are seen as simply the struggle of the ongoing conflict between wholesome values and moral vices. It's a very cagey campaign you have to admit, but it has thrown authentic Christianity into those perilous end times the Apostle Paul told us would come. In no other area has the weaponization of scripture been more effectively used than with the case of the "God is love, love thy neighbor, and judge not lest ye be judged" passages. Distortions of Christ's intent for these exhortations have been fiercely used against Christianity in homosexual debates. Over the years, I have watched as this damnable perversion wrestles to wrench the church of the Lord Jesus Christ from His hand. True Christians and devoted believers fluctuate between being appalled at the idea homosexuality and terrified of being labeled "homophobic" because the Bible condemns it. Many choose to straddle the fence rather than be browbeaten for holding fast to the teachings of the Founder of their faith who, by the way, is a Person and not just an institution. It is my contention that anything that must resort to intimidation, tyranny and

terrorism, all tactics used to shatter the body of Christ is demonic, period. Ironically, Christianity has been charged with doing the same things over the years but now somehow when used by the opposition, it is okay. Remember these three words, intimidation, tyranny, and terrorism. When you finish reading this, look them up and compare their definitions to what you are being bludgeoned with today by the homosexual agenda. Wow! Isn't that odd? The Christian church (and America itself) is being torn apart by, can you believe it? Sex! Yes, that's correct. Sex! Not human rights or civil law as it pretends but plain ol' sex. I keep saying it because I want to strip away for you their façade and uncover what we are truly selling out to as Christians and Christian ministers.

Truths Christians Should Know About the Homosexual Agenda

Our faith and eternal futures are being wobbled and waged by nothing more than sex. No matter how much the media, politicians and rhetoricians gloss over the truth, the lynchpin of homosexuality is sex. Even their use of the term 'gay' originated from the sex act. In the 1950's when its meaning was first perverted, it began its shift from merriment to homosexual relations between two men. By the 1970's this meaning became stuck as the word ceased to apply a merry, happy or lighthearted person to permanently define same sex encounters. However, numerous sources expand its sexual connotations to include anal sex of any kind, men with women or even men with animals.¹ Now that we have uncovered the agenda, let's begin to dig into this thing a little bit deeper. Stay with me on this if you indeed, belong the Lord Jesus Christ and are not in a church that is just using His name. What makes this distinction important is because the information to come holds the key to your salvation. As we proceed, prepare yourself by reading over 1 Corinthians 6:9 and its related references to hear God's mind on the matter.

Oh, and by the way, before you allow heretics to convince you that the Bible is outdated, *as they use the above passages of scripture from it to make their case against us*, consider this. How outdated could it really be when all of the contemporary perversions that mock it are explicitly discussed in its pages? Could it be that sin itself is outdated and the ancient word of God foreseeing its many last day's inventions and seductions warned us about it way back in time? Or maybe homosexuality and the other perversions and vices promoted by modern society are not the real relics of the modern world and are maybe more archaic than the Bible? Don't be deceived. In order to blame the Almighty for sin's consequences, one has to paint Him as the villain and not its target as is really the case. So now let's get to the heart of the Homosexual Agenda.

¹ Barnhardts' Concise Etymological Dictionary, pp. 312 □1995

Unraveling the Threads of the Homosexual Agenda

To start, the homosexual agenda and movement are not about genetics, race, birth defects and deformities or handicaps. It is not about debilitations obtained by accident or illness. Again, I tell you, it is pure and simple, about sex. When introduced to our culture decades ago the platform on which homosexuality presented itself was utterly sexual. Bold social rebels bluntly told us that their sexual preferences were an alternative lifestyle based on same sex partners. None of this remotely suggests a genetic defect or a physical condition, and to date, try as they might their scientists still fail to prove otherwise. Why? *Because sex is an act of the will and not a state of being.* Homosexuality has always been and will always be merely a human preference rooted in a privilege exercised at the practitioner's discretion. Think on the phrase "sexual preference" and you see how it must behave to continue. The entire culture must define itself and its societal classification sexually to legitimize its existence. Even the phrase "same sex" still makes the point that sex is the nexus of the whole institution. So what are we talking about here? We are talking about how homosexuality is what it practices and must practice what it is to survive.

In case we have forgotten its beginnings, homosexuality in practice is either a male choosing to copulate in another male's rectum (the outlet for fecal matter) or a female preferring tongue and toys for sexual pleasure. *Please* forgive the vulgarity but it is what it is. We are also talking about how people's choice of sexual preference is attempting to compel an entire nation's government to protect their decision to adopt an alternative lifestyle; their words. We are talking about being held hostage by actions that do not necessarily have to be public that is forcing the public to finance its repercussions. I strongly suggest you to bypass the media's suppression of the truth about its fallout and research yourself how dangerous and tragic this practice is, especially if you are going to take a stand for or against it. Go the CDC, the NIH² and other health sites to see the horrific effects of a culture they want to force on an entire country, even if it will bankrupt us in the process. I also suggest that you visit the following site for more uncensored information on this subject. <http://www.traditionalvalues.org/>

My fellow ministers in the gospel and faith of the Lord Jesus Christ, let us all take our blinders off and realize that sex alone is the homosexual's platform. It can be nothing else, which renders their argument for civil rights based on their carnal love suspect. We as ministers that represent the true Jesus Christ cannot participate in the homosexual agenda's movement to compel the United States of America to finance all of the consequences that go along with their choosing an alternative (and extremely risky) way to have sex, which is usually indiscriminate and with random partners. To succeed, they need the majority backing of the only power on earth that can stem this tide, the Christian

² Center for Disease Control, National Institute of Health and other such sites.

church of the Risen Lord Jesus Christ. Let us take some time to explore this power a little further.

Christianity's Real Power Source

A little over two thousand years ago, a Man named Jesus came to earth as foretold for millennia in the form of a sperm inseminated without any sexual contact into the egg of a virgin. I say He came to earth because that is what He said was how He got here. He traveled from His world to ours in a microcosmic spaceship called a sperm (seed), Genesis 3:15, Isaiah 7:14; Revelation 12:17; John 7:42; Romans 1:3; 2 Timothy 2:8. And let us not forget the Angel Gabriel's visitation to the Virgin Mary, brought forward and fulfilled according to Isaiah 7:14. See Luke 1:237-35. The Son of God born of the Virgin Mary grew up in anonymity and at the age of thirty began to do the unthinkable. He decried the other gods His nation worshipped and those of the Gentile. He destroyed demon possessions, and literally stole the souls of countless people, past, present and future from the devilish gods that held them captive. If that were not enough, He finished His job by dying on the cross to get into hell to retrieve His other lost souls too and after doing so, returned to earth to resurrect the faithful saints that died under Moses' law; those that died under Abraham and Noah were retrieved from hell.

All of this, Jesus Christ did to fulfill the reason that He as the second Person of the Godhead came to earth in the first place. Let us be clear, the only two reasons that the Son of God incarnated were to "die and go to hell." Everything else surrounding His incarnation was to these ends. The purpose for His elaborate salvation venture was to seek and save that which was lost. Frankly, to get back the souls He relinquished to the devil in Adam's seed in Eden, those foreordained by the Godhead to be eternal heirs of its salvation. You see, true Christians are made by the Holy Spirit and not the institutional church. It is only their birthplace in some cases and a womb in others. Many believers do not know that we Christians came into being as God's children from those the Lord named before the foundation of the world. See John chapter 3 and 1 Peter 1:23, Ephesians 1:4; 1 Peter 1:20; 2 Timothy 1:9; Titus 1:2. What all of these scriptures share is their answer to why Christianity never was and can never be a classic world religion. The reason is because it originated outside this world eternities before it began; meaning that it existed in Christ before all time began, see 1 Corinthians 2:7. As a result, it is impossible for any contemporaneous trend or world philosophy to redefine or alter it. Although this is the gospel in a nutshell, what has it to do with the homosexual agenda? The answer is everything.

Since Christian ministers are being bombarded with love and liberty messages to induce them to support this agenda, it behooves them to reach back to the foundations of their faith for answers and refuge. For instance, the only place to classify and qualify true Christianity is in the Holy Bible, which was brought to earth by the Maker of all things.

Never forget that Christianity came to earth in the Person of the Son of God who presented Himself to Abraham, appeared to Moses in the burning bush, and physicalized Himself in the Person Jesus Christ. Salvation's amazing place culminated in the Holy Spirit pouring out Christ's church on earth on its first Pentecost to populate the planet with His seed. Lastly, these are our only measurements and the sole frame of reference for recognizing the true Jesus Christ and what is or is not of Him. Christianity can neither be judged nor validated according to humanity. People's approval or disapproval, agreement or disagreement, ire or rebellion with it has no bearing on its origins, existence, advancement or culture. All of these emanate from heaven's throne. No doubt our pre-temporal records are why so much effort has been put into discrediting and altering Christianity. Such an elegant beginning establishes a great need to force or seduce it into bowing to a prevailing culture. It is not too unlike the devil's temptation of Jesus Christ, his Maker to get Him to forget His eternal roots and lust for the things of this world. As a for instance, think about the new gender neutral Bible, really? That is like having your birth certificate and the history of your life altered to reflect the modern world's disagreement with your being here. To erase you they reduce your identity to nothing more than a spot on the planet or a number in a system. It is easy to see why this ploy is important to the homosexual agenda. Their success depends on achieving their goal of challenging not only the veracity of scripture and the authenticity of its author's account of the past, but the very gender of the Christian God and His Savior. If both can be proven to be asexual then later down the line they can be portrayed as homosexual, and to think Christian publishers are joining this wretched campaign.

To continue, the other problem with homosexuality, since we established at the outset that it is just about 'sex' is that Christianity is presented in the Bible as a sexually rigid faith. Meaning by this, that its followers are either to be married to one person, a member of the opposite sex, or they are to remain abstinent. Now the government may be trying to redefine marriage for its citizens, but it cannot redefine it for the Christian since it did not create the institution in the first place. It only inherited it upon establishing itself as a country. So the answer to homosexuality's success in this age is to convert Christian ministers to its agenda and commission them to go out and propagate it among their members. Sadly, many of them are doing just that, although I cannot understand how since to promote homosexuality is to sanction unmarried sex and promiscuity because both of which are the only ways homosexuals earn their name and live up to their identity.

As a case in point, it always amazes me when I hear Christians talk about easing homosexuals in their church so they feel comfortable about their "sexual orientation, sexual preference, and alternative lifestyle." Here is a thought. If they are Christians and unmarried, shouldn't they be abstinent? If they are dead set against male female unions, shouldn't they be devout celibates? Why is the question of sexual activity even a factor in their Christian walk with the Lord Jesus? If all unmarried Christians are to be abstinent,

how is it that the homosexual ones get to enjoy their sexual orientation? In actuality, to be a practicing homosexual as a Christian is to be both adulterous and promiscuous. As you can see the two, Christianity and homosexuality, are mutually exclusive. In reality, they counteract each other. For church leaders to say otherwise is for them to demonstrate an utter disregard for the scriptures and the faith of the God that authored them.

If we take God, Christ and the scriptures at face value, we have to admit that it is *absolutely* impossible for the true Jesus Christ to have a homosexual church. Admittedly, there are many out there using His name to establish an antichrist ecclesia to promote an ungodly agenda and to seduce Christians. But in truth, they are not *Christian* churches. Of the many reasons for this impossibility is that the highest standards of true Christianity are unattainable for anyone living a sexually defined lifestyle. For instance, holiness is impossible for a homosexual to attain. Righteousness as the Creator deems it is completely out of their league. Godliness according to the image and likeness of Jesus Christ can never be achieved by a homosexual and Christ's moral code can never be fully upheld by them because the essence and purpose of their existence is sex. In effect, all of the redemptive qualities of biblical Christianity cannot be attained by the sexually perverse or impure because the first and highest call of God is "be ye holy as I am holy" because "without holiness, no man shall see the Lord."

While it sounds compassionate to endorse these practices in the name of tolerance and compromise—and they are but practices—in reality neither a true Christian nor a Christian minister or church is authorized to approve what the Lord Jesus Himself condemns in His word. "Love thy neighbor" can never redefine what He designates an accepted member of His body on earth. For sure, humans can say what they want while in the flesh in this world, but Jesus came from the world we say we want to live in after we die. He of all people should know what that world looks like and the practices it prospers or condemns. He said that marriage itself is not an institution in His Father's worlds, see the Lord's parable of the woman forced to marry seven husbands. The Sadducees, His challengers, asked Him about her afterlife fate, wanting to know whose wife she would be in heaven. The Lord Jesus countered by saying that no one in His world would be married. Look at Jesus' response to them:

"The children of this world marry, and are given in marriage: But they which shall be accounted worthy to obtain that world and the resurrection from the dead, neither marry, nor are given in marriage." Luke 20:27-38.

Can you imagine it? When we get to heaven there will be onlyⁱ one marriage and one spouse; the Lord Jesus and His church the bride. Other than that the family of heaven is made up of citizens and nations transported there from this world in whatever state they were in when they left their bodies.

The National Delusion

There is another troubling issue to consider about homosexuality that is truly a phenomenon. It is how its practitioners delude³ themselves into thinking that wearing the clothes of the opposite sex effectively converts their gender. Putting on men's clothes and cutting one's hair like a man is thought to compel others to accept a woman as a man. The same is true with the men. Donning female apparel and accessories including the cosmetics is to force the illusion of a man's outward transformation in a woman. Does anyone else think this is abnormal? Such behavior and conduct was once psychologized as a disorder, delusion if you will. In most other instances it still is, as when a person puts on a police or other official uniform and goes about taking on the duties and actions and other practices of the position. Not only is it seen as illegal, but clinicians will call it some sort of emotional disorder. How are the rules different for homosexuals? Instead, their obsession and delusions have become a national fixation worthy of governmental protections. To counter some of their glaring contradictions, the homosexual agenda works feverishly to thread their figment into every sphere of society. The last and greatest holdout seems to be the Christian church, perhaps religion in general, but for sure Christianity. So far they successfully converted the academic community by compelling its guardians to normalize homosexuality through their curricula. They convinced the media to spread it by forcing images of homosexual couples' intimacy on the screen as entertainment. Musicians dramatize it in their songs and videos. The president ramrodded it down the military's throat. The psychological community resolved its blatant inconsistencies by removing the so called religious taboo from perverse sexuality. This they did by redefining intimacy, the family, and most of all redesigning culture to accept the bizarre as wholesome, just different. Therapies were devised to help homosexuals feel good about their choice and their family and friends to go from coping with their well-deserved shame to celebrating their brazenness. And then there is the medical community that has invented surgical means of cosmetically altering the homosexual's body to visualize their gender persuasion. The problem with that one is that nature refuses to admit their belief is its mistake and so endless medications are needed to keep up the pretense.

To continually advance their agenda, homosexuals pull out the old staple of their intimidation tactics. That of labeling resisters to their movement 'homophobes', to shame weak willed souls into accepting and sometimes undertaking their campaign to

³ **Psychiatry:** "A fixed false belief that is resistant to reason or confrontation with actual fact: a paranoid delusion." ²

Thessalonians 2:11 "And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie." From Thayer's Greek Definitions: Delusion: 1) a wandering, a straying about; one led astray from the right way, roams hither and thither; 2) metaphorically: mental straying; error, wrong opinion relative to morals or religion

2b) error which shows itself in action, a wrong mode of acting; error, that which leads into error, deceit or fraud.

prove they are liberal or puritanical. Notice how everything is extreme with their ploys. Extremism is the mainstay of all that is published, accused, and punished. From their language to their reactions to those who disagree with them, everything is extreme. Any disagreement or disapproval is labeled hate to ignite a fear in our liberty defending society. Well, I have covered quite a bit to make the point for those of us who represent and uphold the kingdom of our God and His Christ. Let me wrap this up with some scenarios that I feel are worth portraying.

With the president declaring that the whole of the United States government is now standing behind homosexuality, we as its citizens should know what his government stance is shoving upon us. Let's say that we have gone the way of the federal government on this issue. We wake up and now it is law as it is in many states already. Here is what concerns me and perhaps you too as well. If the grace of God remains with me in this writing then I may well articulate your thoughts and sentiments. Recalling how I opened this article with homosexuality being about sex places it squarely in the classification of a personal act of the will, not a life sustaining necessity. Once more, going without sex is not life threatening, going without food, water and air is. People do not need to have sex to live or function, they do however need to eat, drink, and breathe to live. Even sight, sound, movement, and speech do not sustain life, they enhance and enrich it. Sex is not a faculty, it is a desire, urges that can or cannot be ignored at the will of the person affected. People can control their sexual urges and appetites or inflame them at will. So to place this function in the same capacity as the vital organs is just plain exaggerated. One can live a reasonably productive life without their sex organs, not so with the vital organs like the heart, lungs, liver, kidneys and such. The loss of these is life threatening and inevitably fatal.

Being is not the Same as Doing

To continue, I take personal offense as an African American woman to being ranked with homosexuals as a prejudiced or persecuted group. They have a choice about how to have sex to maintain their status. They say when to engage in their preferred practice, as well as with whom to have sex. I cannot say the same. Their preference is based on action, mine is on a physiological state of existence. I cannot wake up tomorrow and not have black skin or hide the fact that I am African American. They on the other hand can by a simple change of outfit and some cosmetic trappings alter their appearance and conceal or detract from their sexual preferences. No matter how many outfits I put on or how short or shaved my hair, I am what I am and it is immediately obvious to anyone who sees me. The same is true for the other nationalities and those suffering physical conditions that can be neither reversed nor hidden. These people do not have to **do** anything to be recognized as different. The homosexual does and that is what makes their tactics so devious. To be recognized they have to tell us how they are choosing to perform their sex acts for others to know that anything is different about them.

The fact that two people of the same sex want to cohabitate is irrelevant until they want to have sex with each other. When they do, the relationship is changed, not outwardly so that the world sees it, but privately between them. Otherwise, we the public just know two friends are sharing an apartment or home. In order for their changed status to become public they must alter their appearances to reflect their private conduct and declare their reason for doing so is how they now choose to gratify their sexual desires. For those of who suffer social bias from birth, having homosexuals invade our class and claim persecution because of their choice belittles our plight. Ours is an unmistakable and irreversible state. We do not have to declare it and we cannot hide it, no matter how us many opportunities and justice it costs us. Homosexuals were not on plantations. They are not a race that is oppressed and their identity muted by accepted society. If the heat gets too great for any one of them, they can just recede into the background of life and enjoy its benefits as before. No such option exists for those whose nationality and deformity is unchangeable. For these reasons, I personally find their riding on my coattail as a black woman a mockery. But there is more that I will present it in the form of a scenario.

Not too long ago I went to what was a favorite restaurant of mine in my town. After having not been there for a while, I was unaware that it had changed hands and was now owned by three lesbian women. After we were seated I immediately felt the difference in the place, but what struck me most was that they all three wore male clothes. One had a pair of khaki pants on with a red plaid shirt and the other two were similarly dressed. I also noticed them attempting to pick up several of the female customers, something never before witnessed over the years I favored the place. While these were disturbing details, the thing that affected me the most after my initial surprise was how male attired or not, each one had to file into the lady's room to relieve themselves. That in itself reveals the farce of their appearance. As I thought about it, my mind envisioned the cost of homosexuality on an already overburdened and economically stretched country. There will now have to be two additional sets of restrooms in public places or else we who have not bought into their delusion will be forced to endorse it. Heterosexual privacy will certainly be a thing of the past, no matter how we feel about it. We just won't know who or what is in the ladies or men's room with us and our children. The cost of providing the benefits they demand will not only be exorbitant but will open the nation up to all sorts of fraud because easy money and free or low cost access to medical treatment will give rise to fraudulent petitions and claims. Since the homosexual's status is entirely action based and not condition based, there is no way to assure who truly qualifies and who does not. The movie "I Now Pronounce You Chuck and Larry" demonstrates this well.

Also, since homosexuality is preferential and not essential, those who try it in one relationship and decide it is no longer their preference can still, once their choice is a matter of record, enjoy benefits that they received when they were exploring their sexuality. Who is to say if they are heterosexually or homosexually active once the benefits

begin. Of course the list can go on but you get the point. For a nation to put such a burden upon their people simply because a select group wants to behave differently and practice sex their own way is troublesome at best. For a nation as great as ours, it is embarrassing. In addition, what's to stop the heterosexual couple dabbling in bi-sexuality from claiming a piece of the benefits Americans provide for homosexuals? How will that be sorted out? Who will police the bedrooms to ensure that heterosex is not happening, or will there be a quota set for how many homosexual encounters one must have to remain qualified to receive their benefits. Human nature being what it is, these future concerns are real and avoidable since the homosexual's only claim to distinction is that they want to have sex different from the majority. Moreover, with homosexuality being something people *choose* to do to satisfy their sexual urges, how is that different from the rapists, the pedophile, and other sexual perverts? They all say they cannot help it and that they were born that way. Many feel it is their right to satisfy themselves and express their sexuality as they see fit. In fact, how do we reconcile our national stance in view of NAMBLA, The North American Man Boy Association? This group feels they are right and entitled to enjoy their bizarre sexuality even if it is at the expense of a young life. For those of you who do not know about them, here is what Wikipedia say about them:

“The North American Man/Boy Love Association (NAMBLA) is a pedophile and pederasty advocacy organization in the United States that works to abolish age of consent laws criminalizing adult sexual involvement with minors, and for the release of all men who have been jailed for sexual contacts with minors that did not involve coercion. Some reports state that the group no longer has regular national meetings, and that as of the late 1990s, to avoid local police infiltration, the organization discouraged the formation of local chapters. Around 1995, an undercover detective discovered that there were 1,100 people on the rolls. As of 2005, a newspaper report stated that NAMBLA was based in New York and San Francisco, and held an annual gathering in New York City and monthly meetings around the country.”

This is just one site, but we all know there are many, many more. These people believe they are right and normal and that society is wrong. How is that different from what our country is pushing on us on behalf of the homosexuals? Their behaviors too may be classified as preferential and alternative. However dark their pleasure, how they choose to have sex is also based on inner passions and deviant appetites they claim to be born with and incapable of suppressing whatever the risk. Again, what's the difference? How can we justify the homosexual doing something that is against nature “God's term” and not pave the way for the other sexual abnormalists to demand their rights to the same convictions as homosexual's right to government sanctioned and financed expression of their sexual appetites? And finally, there are the children.

The homosexual agenda's current, pushing to have youngsters declare their sexual orientation before they even know what sex really is or is for; amounts to a type of *psychological pedophilia*. It smacks of juvenile entrapment. Although no physical

touching is happening, the youngsters who have yet to know their minds, preferences and the like are having their sexuality aroused and manipulated by the philosophies and values of the adults hired to educate them. If physical sexuality is wrong and considered a crime, how is it that mental and emotional manipulation of their future sexuality is not? The public schools, in an effort to divorce the wholly sexual connotations of homosexuality from its identity are using the children as a lab experiment. They are presented with material and asked to choose a life path that has not yet been awakened, other than by their classroom lessons. Distastefully, elementary school students are brain washed from youth to believe (better yet imagine) they are something they are not or cannot yet prove they are, which is the lowest form of social combat any organization can employ. To win or keep the approval of their educators, the little ones make claims they know nothing about as a school age child. A six-year old is hardly in a place to know what sex is, let alone whether he or she has a preference for how to perform it. Despite this fact, the irresponsibility of the promoters of this practice appears to have no problem throwing the kids into the fire in order to win their battles.

As you can see my fellow representatives of Jesus Christ, this is a cagy war that needs more than forward thinking ministers. It needs foundational and traditional thinkers as well. Whatever side of the fence you stand on, the purpose of this writing is to give you food for thought so that I may in some way save some. I want to say in conclusion, I do not favor abuse of any group. This article is to provide my fellow ministers and brothers and sisters in the Lord with some insights to help us defend our faith and secure the church of the Lord Jesus Christ that He purchased with His own blood. However, I firmly believe that it is the duty of every responsible society to suppress evil. Our leaders owe it to us to tell us the truth based on thorough research and investigation. They have a duty to protect us from foreign and domestic threats, of which homosexuality is a major though subtle one. Good governmental stewardship includes protecting and not monetizing at risk behaviors. As it stands, the tab for the sexual revolution America has been enjoying has gone way beyond exorbitant. When should citizens be relieved of the duty of supporting behaviors that are not compulsory but simply preferred? Now, according to the homosexual agenda, we are faced with yet another mega hike in our debt to bear the financial of a conduct that really should be borne by those who have it in their power to avert its dangers and damages just by avoiding their at risk behaviors. If homosexuals feel that it is their right, then it most certainly should be their responsibility as well; just the way it is with every other alternative or illicit sexual activity that carries with it the consequence of disease. It truly is a matter of free will and not survival.

Still, I firmly believe as a messenger of the Lord Jesus Christ that salvation followed by sanctification is the answer to all of humanity's ills and ails, homosexuality included. As representatives of God and not just ministers of His church, we too have duties and

responsibilities to Him and His people who trust us to show them the good and right way. That means the way that leads to salvation and not damnation. God bless you.

ⁱⁱ Among the prominent sins of oriental peoples, “the abominations of the nations which Yahweh drove out before the children of Israel” was one of the most heinous character; that of sodomy, against which God's people are repeatedly warned. The Greek expression for the devotee of this vice literally means, “he who lies with man;” the abuser of himself with mankind; the sodomite (1Corinthians 6:9). The Hebrew, literally means the (male) devotee of lascivious and licentious idolatry (Deuteronomy 23:17; 1 Kings 14:24; 1 Kings 15:12; 1 Kings 22:46; 2 Kings 23:7; Job 36:14), International Standard Bible Encyclopedia. Thayer dictionary: “one who lies with a male as with a female, a sodomite, a homosexual.



Paula A. Price is a strong and widely acknowledged international voice on the subject of apostolic and prophetic ministry. She is recognized as a modern-day apostle with a potent prophetic anointing. Active in full-time ministry since 1985, she has founded and established three churches, an apostolic and prophetic Bible institute, a publication company, consulting firm, and global collaborative network linking apostles and prophets together for the purpose of kingdom vision and ventures. Through this international ministry, she has transformed the lives of many through her wisdom and revelation of God's kingdom.

As a former sales and marketing executive, Dr. Price effectively blends ministerial and entrepreneurial applications in her ministry to enrich and empower a diverse audience with the skills and abilities to take kingdoms for the Lord Jesus Christ. A lecturer, teacher, curriculum developer and business trainer, Dr. Price globally consults Christian businesses, churches, schools and assemblies. Over a 20-year period, Dr. Price has developed a superior curriculum to train Christian ministers and professionals, particularly the apostle and the prophet. Her programs often are used in both secular and non-secular environments worldwide. Although she has written over 25 books, manuals, and other course material on the apostolic and prophetic, she is most recognized for her unique 1,600-term Prophet's Dictionary, and her concise prophetic training manual entitled *The Prophet's Handbook*. Other releases include *The ABCs of Apostleship*, a practical guide to the fundamentals of modern apostleship, *Divine Order for Spiritual Dominance*, a five-fold ministry tool, and *Eternity's Generals*, an explanation of today's apostle and *When God Goes Silent*, *Living Life Without God's Voice*.

Her ministry goal is to make Christ's teachings and churches relevant for today. "Eternity in the Now" is the credo through which she accomplishes it.

In addition to her vast experience, Dr. Price has a D.Min. and a PhD in Religious Education from Word of Truth Seminary in Alabama. She is also a wife, mother of three daughters, and the grandmother of two.

She presently pastors New Creation Worship Assembly in Broken Arrow, OK.